arXiv:1104.1876v2 [math.FA] 26 Oct 2011

Sensitivity analysis of one parameter semigroups exemplified by the Wright–Fisher diffusion

Peter Pfaffelhuber and Heinz Weisshaupt

ZBSA University of Freiburg
Habsburgerstrasse 49
79104 Freiburg, Germany
heinz.weisshaupt@zbsa.uni-freiburg.de
peter.pfaffelhuber@stochastik.uni-freiburg.de

January 19, 2013

Abstract

We consider the sensitivity, with respect to a parameter θ, of parametric families of operators A_θ, vectors π_θ corresponding to the adjoints A_θ^* of A_θ via $A_\theta^*\pi_\theta = 0$ and one parameter semigroups $t \mapsto e^{tA_\theta}$. We display formulas relating weak differentiability of $\theta \mapsto \pi_\theta$ (at $\theta = 0$) to weak differentiability of $\theta \mapsto A_\theta^*\pi_0$ and $[e^{A_\theta t}]^*\pi_0$. We give two applications: The first one concerns the sensitivity of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with respect to its location parameter. The second one provides new insights regarding the Wright–Fisher diffusion for small mutation parameter.

MSC 2001: 47A55, 35B20, 47D06, 47D07, 46N60, 46A20

Keywords: one parameter semigroups, sensitivity analysis, diffusions, Wright–Fisher diffusion, Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup.

Introduction

Sensitivities of parametric families of dynamical systems (with respect to the parameter) have been studied in the context of stochastic processes [10] as well as partial differential equations [2] and are useful tools in optimization and control. We consider sensitivities in the setting of one parameter semigroups. This setting constitutes a unifying approach to continuous time Markov processes [1] and linear PDEs [4], [1]. In particular it allows an elegant treatment of the sensitivities of the Wright–Fisher diffusion.

We consider one parameter semigroups[1] $U := (U(t))_{t\in[0,\infty)}$, that can be represented as $U(t) = e^{tA}$ for some linear operator $A : E \to E$ on some linear space E. This does not exclude generators A that are usually considered as 'unbounded', since we do in general not suppose that E is a Banach space. (For information on the Hille-Yosida Generation Theorem providing $U(t) = e^{tA}$ for unbounded A in a Banach space setting consult [4, Chapter II, Section 3].)

Since the topic of this article is not the behaviour of a single semigruop, but the sensitivity of their behaviour with respect to small perturbations of a parameter θ, we do not only consider one generator A or one semigroup U, but consider parametric families $(A_\theta)_{\theta\in\Theta}$ and $(U_\theta)_{\theta\in\Theta}$ of generators A_θ and semigroups $U_\theta = (e^{tA_\theta})_{t\in[0,\infty)}$, with $0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. (Note that θ is an additional parameter and must not be confused with the parameter t of a single one parameter semigroup.)

We further consider a second linear space F that is in duality with E and parametric families $(\pi_\theta)_{\theta\in\Theta}$ such that $\pi_\theta \in F$ and $A_\theta^*\pi_\theta = 0$ for an adjoint (dual) $A_\theta^* : F \to F$ of A_θ.

We first show in Lemma 1.5 that differentiability of $\theta \mapsto A_0^*\pi_\theta$ at $\theta = 0$ is equivalent to differentiability of $\theta \mapsto A_\theta^*\pi_0$ at $\theta = 0$ provided that

$$[A_0^* - A_\theta^*][\pi_\theta - \pi_0] \to 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \to 0,$$

with all limits taken in the weak sense with respect to the given duality. Further

$$\frac{\partial A_0^*\pi_\theta}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0} = -\frac{\partial A_\theta^*\pi_0}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0}, \tag{1}$$

with the involved limits again taken in the weak sense.

Thus Lemma 1.5 makes the calculation of $\frac{\partial A_0^*\pi_\theta}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0}$ in some cases easier than the calculation of $\frac{\partial\pi_\theta}{\partial\theta}|_{\theta=0}$. This fact is exemplified by Remark 4.4, Proposition 4.5 and Remark 4.6 in the case that A_θ is the generator of the Wright–Fisher diffusions.

Next we prove Theorem 2.6, the main result of the article. In the case that F is a subspace of the algebraic dual E' of E, the theorem provides a formula (see Remark 2.7) for $\frac{\partial[U_\theta(t)]^*}{\partial\theta}\pi_0|_{\theta=0}$ with $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ denoting the uniquely determined adjoint of $U_\theta(t)$, i.e., a formula for the sensitivity of $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0$ with respect to the parameter θ. This formula involves $\nu := \frac{\partial A_\theta^*\pi_0}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0}$ and operators $V_0(t)$ given by the series expansion $V_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!}A^{n-1}$.

It is a simple fact that in the case that $F \subseteq E'$ we have $[U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_\theta = \pi_\theta$, i.e., π_θ is a stationary vector of $[U_\theta(t)]^*$.

One of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 is that $E = \bigcup_{j\in J} E_j$ with E_j Banach spaces with respect to the norms $\|.\|_j$, such that $A_\theta(E_j) \subseteq E_j$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and the restriction $A_\theta|_{E_j}$ of A_θ to E_j is bounded with respect to $\|.\|_j$. It is essential for the proof of Theorem 2.6 that the E_j do not depend on θ. Note that this hypothesis is fairly restrictive. It prevents us, for example, from the investigation of diffusion equations with arbitrary coefficient functions. It allows however quite interesting insights in the following situation:

---

[1] Mappings U from $[0,\infty)$ to the space of linear operators $U(t) : E \to E$ on some linear space E such that $U(t+s) = U(t)U(s)$

Our abstract results are applicable to the case that E equals the space of polynomials on some appropriate real interval and the generators A_θ are of the form

$$A_\theta := \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(x) \cdot q_i(\theta) \frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} \tag{2}$$

with p_i polynomials of degree less than i and q_i differentiable functions. This is due to the fact that the operators A_θ leave for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the spaces of polynomials of degree less than k invariant. We apply our results to two examples of diffusions operators A_θ that fulfill (2), i.e. to differential operators of the form (2) with $n = 2$.

In the first example we demonstrate the applicability of our results to a parametric family of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroups $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*$ corresponding to generators

$$A_\theta := (\theta - x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2},$$

considering without loss of generality the case $\sigma^2 = 1$.

OU-semigroups and stochastic processes corresponding to these semigroups are frequently used in interest rate modeling. The parameter θ is interpreted as the interest rate to which the process reverts. (Compare with [14, Vol 2, Chapter 46] and [11, Section 9.3].) In this example all derivatives can be represented by functions. Further it is possible to calculate the derivatives directly since the evolution of the OU-semigroup is explicitly given by (31). Thus the example of the OU-semigroup is just of an illustrative nature that does not really rely on the developed theory. This is quite different for our second example:

In our second example we consider a parametric family of Wright–Fisher diffusions with mutation and without selection, that can be described by the semigroups $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ corresponding to the generators

$$A_\theta := (1 - x)\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\kappa\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x(1 - x)\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}. \tag{3}$$

Wright–Fisher diffusions are useful tools in population genetics, describing the distributions of allele-frequencies in a population (see [5] and Remark 4.7). Note that the stationary distribution π_0 of $[U_0(t)]^*$ is in the degenerate case $\theta = 0$ and $\mu > 0$ given by the Dirac measure at 0. We calculate the sensitivity $\frac{d\pi_\theta}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0}$ of π_θ at $\theta = 0$ as well as the sensitivities $A_0^*\frac{d\pi_\theta}{d\theta}$ and $\frac{\partial U_\theta^* \pi_0}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0}$. We obtain (Proposition 4.6, formula (37)) that $A^*\frac{d\pi_\theta}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, i.e., the sensitivities under consideration are in general not representable by functions or measures on $[0, 1]$, but are general linear functionals on the space of polynomials on $[0, 1]$.

What makes the concrete calculation of these sensitivities difficult is the fact that the involved operators are not diagonalizable. It is however possible to construct a basis (see Remark 4.9) of the space of polynomials such that A_0 is almost diagonalizable in the sense that equation (48) holds. This enables us to provide a relatively simple recursive formula for the sensitivity $\frac{\partial U_\theta}{\partial \theta}\pi_0|_{\theta=0}$ (Theorem 4.10) with respect to this basis.

Although our methods are purely functional analytic and non-probabilistic in nature we can—in the case of diffusion processes—interpret the action of the sensitivities on the space of polynomials in a probabilistic manner: The action of the derivative on the n-th monomial is simply the derivative of the n-th moment of the parametric family of probability measures under consideration. This can be further interpreted in the case of the Wright–Fisher diffusion (compare with Remark 4.7).

Derivatives of diffusion semigruops with respect to an additional parameter θ have been dealt with in the context of mathematical finance mainly in the context of the stochastic calculus of variations, but also in a PDE context. For an introduction to such results consult [9] (especially [9, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3]) and [14]. (For an elementary approach to the relationship of diffusion processes and diffusion equations consult [13]). Derivatives of Markov kernels have been considered in [10] and [6]. An extension to derivatives of general operators in a Banach space context, relating the derivatives of the operators to the derivatives of their stationary vectors has been given in [12].

1 Generators and stationary vectors

Remark 1.1 We follow in the style of presentation of our general functional analytic results [7, Sections 16 and 21].

Definition 1.2 We say that $(E, F, \langle .|. \rangle)$ is a dual pairing of the linear spaces E and F if $\langle .|. \rangle : E \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ is bilinear. We denote by $w(E, F)$ and $w(F, E)$ the weak topologies induced by the families of mappings $\{\xi \mapsto \langle \xi|\mu \rangle \mid \mu \in F\}$ and $\{\mu \mapsto \langle \xi|\mu \rangle \mid \xi \in E\}$, respectively. We say that the dual pairing is separating if $w(E, F)$ and $w(F, E)$ are Hausdorff. In the case of a separating dual pairing we may identify F with a subspace of the algebraic dual E' and vice versa E with a subspace of F'. We denote the spaces of $w(E, F)$-continuous linear transformations $A : E \to E$ by $\mathcal{L}_w(E)$ and the space of $w(F, E)$-continuous linear transformation $A : F \to F$ by $\mathcal{L}_w(F)$, respectively. We say that the linear transformations $S : E \to E$ and $T : F \to F$ are dual if for arbitrary $\xi \in E$ and $\mu \in F$ we have $\langle S\xi|\mu \rangle = \langle \xi|T\mu \rangle$. In the case that the pairing is separating, we call a dual transformations an adjoint and note that the adjoint is uniquely determined.

Remark 1.3 Let $(E, F, \langle .|. \rangle)$ be a dual pairing. Let E' denote the algebraic dual of E, i.e, the space of all linear functionals (continuous or not) on E. Given a parametric family $(\mu_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta} \in F^\Theta$ such that

$$\forall \xi \in E \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle \xi|\mu_\theta - \mu_0 \rangle \quad \text{exists,} \tag{4}$$

we let $\frac{\partial \mu_\theta}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0} \in E'$ denote the unique linear functional such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle \xi | \mu_\theta - \mu_0 \rangle = \frac{\partial \mu_\theta}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}(\xi). \tag{5}$$

We call $\frac{\partial \mu_\theta}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}$ the E-derivative of $\theta \mapsto \mu_\theta$ at $\theta = 0$ and say that some $\nu \in F$ represents $\frac{\partial \mu_\theta}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}$ if

$$\langle \xi | \nu \rangle = \frac{\partial \mu_\theta}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}(\xi). \tag{6}$$

In the case that the dual pairing is separating the representative $\nu \in F$ is unique (if it exists).

Proposition 1.4 *A mapping $S : E \to E$ possesses a dual $T : F \to F$ if and only if $S \in \mathcal{L}_w(E)$. Further $T \in \mathcal{L}_w(F)$ (since T possesses the dual S).*

Proof: See [7] 21.1.

Lemma 1.5 *Let $(E, F, \langle . | . \rangle)$ be a dual pairing. Let $0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with 0 an accumulation point of Θ. For each $\theta \in \Theta$ let $A_\theta \in \mathcal{L}_w(E)$ and $\pi_\theta \in F$. Denote by A^*_θ a dual (the adjoint) of A_θ. (Note that the existence of A^*_θ is granted by Proposition 1.4). Suppose that:*

$$(\forall \theta \in \Theta) \quad A^*_\theta \pi_\theta = 0, \tag{7}$$

and

$$(\forall \xi \in E) \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle \xi | [A_\theta - A_0]^* (\pi_\theta - \pi_0) \rangle = 0. \tag{8}$$

Then $\theta \mapsto A^*_0 \pi_\theta$ possesses an E-derivative at 0 that is represented by $-\nu \in F$, i.e.,

$$(\forall \xi \in E) \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle \xi | A^*_0 (\pi_\theta - \pi_0) \rangle = \langle \xi | - \nu \rangle, \tag{9}$$

if and only if $\theta \mapsto A^*_\theta \pi_0$ possesses an E-derivative at 0 represented by $\nu \in F$, i.e.,

$$(\forall \xi \in E) \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle \xi | [A^*_\theta - A^*_0] \pi_0 \rangle = \langle \xi | \nu \rangle. \tag{10}$$

Proof: Let $\xi \in E$ be arbitrary. Calculation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \xi \left| \frac{A^*_\theta \pi_\theta - A^*_0 \pi_0}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle - \left\langle \xi \left| \frac{[A^*_\theta - A^*_0][\pi_\theta - \pi_0]}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle \\ &\quad = \left\langle \xi \left| \frac{[A^*_\theta - A^*_0] \pi_0}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle + \left\langle \xi \left| \frac{A^*_0 [\pi_\theta - \pi_0]}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The limit $\theta \to 0$ on the left hand side of equation (11) exists and equals 0 by (7) and (8). Thus the same is true for the right hand side, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \left(\left\langle \xi \left| \frac{[A^*_\theta - A^*_0] \pi_0}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle + \left\langle \xi \left| \frac{A^*_0 [\pi_\theta - \pi_0]}{\theta} \right.\right\rangle \right) = 0$$

and thus further that

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\left\langle \xi \,\middle|\, \frac{[A_\theta^* - A_0^*]\pi_0}{\theta} \right\rangle = -\lim_{\theta\to 0}\left\langle \xi \,\middle|\, \frac{A_0^*[\pi_\theta - \pi_0]}{\theta} \right\rangle \tag{12}$$

in the sense that if the limit on one side of equation (12) exists, then so does the limit on the other one. Since $\xi \in E$ was arbitrarily chosen (12) establishes the equivalence of (9) and (10).

Remark 1.6 If $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{1}{\theta}\langle\xi|\pi_\theta - \pi_0\rangle = \langle\xi|\pi_0'\rangle$ for some $\pi_0' \in F$, then (9) is fulfilled with $\nu = A_0^*\pi_0'$. This follows from the continuity of A_0^* (Proposition 1.4).

Remark 1.7 If the spaces E and F in Lemma 1.5 are additionally endowed with norms $\|.\|_E$ and $\|.\|_F$ respectively, such that $\langle .|.\rangle$ is continuous with respect to these norms then (8) is implied by

$$(\forall \xi \in E) \quad \lim_{\theta\to 0}\theta^{-1}\|[A_\theta - A_0]\| \cdot \|\pi_\theta - \pi_0\| = 0, \tag{13}$$

that is further implied by the norm-Lipschitz continuity of $\theta \mapsto A_\theta$ and $\theta \mapsto \pi_\theta$ at $\theta = 0$. (Compare with [12] Proof of Theorem 3.1)

2 Sensitivity analysis of semigroups

Remark 2.1 Let $(H, \|.\|)$ denote a normed linear space and let $\|.\|\text{-}\lim_{n\to\infty}\xi_n$ denote the limit with respect to $\|.\|$ of the sequence $(\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in H^{\mathbb{N}}$. We say that a linear operator $A : H \to H$ is $\|.\|$-bounded if $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}} := \sup_{\xi\in H\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\|A\xi\|}{\|\xi\|} < \infty$. We denote the space of $\|.\|$-bounded operators by $\mathcal{L}(H)$ and note that $(\mathcal{L}(H), \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}})$ formes a normed algebra. If convenient we write $\|A\|$ instead of $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose that $E := \bigcup_{j\in J} E_j$ is a linear space and that $(E_j, \|.\|_j)$ are (for $j \in J$) complete normed spaces. Suppose further that $A_\theta : E \to E$ is linear, $A_\theta(E_j) \subseteq E_j$ and $A_\theta|_{E_j} \in \mathcal{L}(E_j)$. Then for any $j \in J$ and any $\xi_j \in E_j$*

$$\begin{aligned} U_\theta(t)\xi_j &:= e^{tA_\theta} := \|.\|_j\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{(tA_\theta)^n}{n!}\xi_j \in E_j, \\ V_\theta(t)\xi_j &:= \|.\|_j\text{-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{t}{n}\frac{(tA_\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\xi_j \in E_j \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

exist, i.e. (14) well-defines operators $U_\theta(t), V_\theta(t) : E \to E$.

Proof: The Chauchy sequences

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{(tA_\theta)^n}{n!}\xi_j\right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{t}{n}\frac{(tA_\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\xi_j\right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{15}$$

converge by completeness of E_j with respect to $\|.\|_j$.

Remark 2.3 Note that $V_0(t)\xi = \int_{s=0}^{t} U_0(s)\xi \, ds$, with the integral either taken in the sense of Riemann or Lebesgue.

Notation 2.4 In the situation of Lemma 2.2 we write $\|A\|_j$ instead of $\|A|_{E_j}\|_j$.

Remark 2.5 The following Theorem 2.6 is the main result of this article. Its reformulation Corollary 2.7 is concerned with the sensitivity of $[U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0$ with respect to the parameter θ at $\theta = 0$. Note that $[U_0(t)]^*\pi_0 = \pi_0$, i.e., π_0 is an equilibrium for the dynamics governed by $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*$ and thus Corollary 2.7 provides formulas for the calculation of the first order effect of small perturbations of the parameter θ to the systems dynamics at an equilibrium (of the unperturbed system).

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose that the hypotheses of Lemma 1.5 and the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 are fulfilled. Suppose that for any* $j \in J$ *the mapping*

$$\theta \mapsto \|A_\theta - A_0\|_j \tag{16}$$

is Lipschitz continuous at $\theta = 0$ *and that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in E_j \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \xi | \pi_0 \rangle}{\|\xi\|_j} < c_j < \infty, \tag{17}$$

for appropriate constants c_j *i.e.,* $\xi \mapsto \langle \xi | \pi_0 \rangle$ *defines (for any* $j \in J$*) a* $\|.\|_j$*-continuous linear functional on* E_j*. Let* $U_\theta(t), V_\theta(t) : E \to E$ *denote the operators defined by (14). Then:*

(i) *The mappings* $\theta \mapsto \|U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)\|_j$ *and* $\theta \mapsto \|V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)\|_j$ *are—for any* $j \in J$*—continuous at* $\theta = 0$*,*

(ii) $\forall \xi \in E \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle [U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle = -\langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu \rangle$

for any $\nu \in F$ *fulfilling (10).*

Corollary 2.7 *In the case that* $F = E'$ *and* $\langle \xi | \mu \rangle := \mu(\xi)$*, we may reformulate conclusion (ii) of Theorem 2.6 (using Remark 1.3) as*

$$\left\langle \xi \,\middle|\, \frac{\partial [U_\theta(t)]^* \pi_0}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \langle \xi | [U_\theta(t)]^* \pi_0 \rangle = -\langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu \rangle \tag{18}$$

with $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ *the adjoint of* $U_\theta(t)$*.*

Proof of Theorem 2.6: To prove (i) we just show conitnuity of $\theta \mapsto \|V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)\|_j$ at $\theta = 0$, since continuity of $\theta \mapsto \|[U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)\|_j$ is proved completely analoguous. Let

$$V_{\theta,N}(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t}{n} \frac{(tA_\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \tag{19}$$

Lipschitz continuity of $\theta \mapsto \|A_\theta - A_0\|_j$ at $\theta = 0$ implies that $\theta \mapsto \|A_\theta\|_j < c < \infty$ for some appropriate $c > 0$ in a 0-neighborhood $(-\rho, \rho) \cap \Theta$, thus that

$$\theta \mapsto \|A_\theta^n - A_0^n\|_j = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (A_\theta)^{n-i-1} [A_\theta - A_0](A_0)^i \right\|_j \leq n \cdot c^{n-1} \|A_\theta - A_0\|_j \tag{20}$$

on $(-\rho, \rho) \cap \Theta$ and thus further that

$$\theta \mapsto \|V_{\theta,N}(t) - V_{0,N}(t)\|_j = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t^n}{n!} (A_\theta^{n-1} - A_0^{n-1}) \right\|_j \tag{21}$$

is continuous at $\theta = 0$. Assertion (i) follows easily from the fact that the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of function that are all continuous at $\theta = 0$ is again continuous at $\theta = 0$ and that $\theta \mapsto \|V_{\theta,N}(t) - V_{0,N})(t)\|_j$ converges uniformly on $(-\rho, \rho)$ to $\theta \mapsto \|V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)\|_j$.

To prove (ii) note that $A_0^* \pi_0 = 0$ implies

$$[(A_\theta^*)^n - (A_0^*)^n]\pi_0 = (A_\theta^*)^{n-1} [A_\theta^* - A_0^*]\pi_0. \tag{22}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle [U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle \overset{(a)}{=} \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t^n}{n!} [(A_\theta)^n - (A_0)^n]\xi | \pi_0 \right\rangle \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle \xi | \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t^n}{n!} [(A_\theta^*)^n - (A_0^*)^n]\pi_0 \right\rangle \overset{(b)}{=} \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle \xi | \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t}{n} \frac{(tA_\theta^*)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [A_\theta^* - A_0^*]\pi_0 \right\rangle \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle [A_\theta - A_0] \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t}{n} \frac{(tA_\theta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \xi | \pi_0 \right\rangle \overset{(c)}{=} \langle [A_\theta - A_0] V_\theta(t)\xi | \pi_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle V_\theta(t)\xi | [A_\theta^* - A_0^*]\pi_0 \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

with (a) and (c) consequences of the $\|.\|$-continuity of $\xi \mapsto \langle \xi | \pi_0 \rangle$ (Hypotheisis (17)) and (b) a consequence of (22).

Let $\nu_\theta := \theta^{-1}[A_\theta^* - A_0^*]\pi_0$. From (17) and by the Lipschitz continuity of $\theta \mapsto \|A_\theta - A_0\|$ at $\theta = 0$ we obtain that $\exists \ell_j > 0$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta \setminus \{0\}$ and $\forall \xi \in E_j$

$$\langle \xi | \nu_\theta \rangle = \theta^{-1} \langle [A_\theta - A_0]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle \leq c_j \|[A_\theta - A_0]\xi\| \leq \ell_j \|\xi\|_j. \tag{24}$$

From (24) we get $\forall \theta \in \Theta \setminus \{0\}$ and $\forall \xi \in E_j$ that

$$\langle [V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)]\xi | \nu_\theta \rangle \leq \ell_j [\|V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)]\xi\|_j. \tag{25}$$

From (25) and (i) we obtain that

$$\forall j \in J \, \forall \xi_j \in E_j \quad \lim_{\theta\to 0} \langle [V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)]\xi | \nu_\theta \rangle \leq \ell_j \lim_{\theta\to 0} \|[V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)]\xi\|_j = 0. \tag{26}$$

From the weak differentiability of $\theta \mapsto A^*_\theta \pi_0$ at $\theta = 0$, i.e., from (10), we obtain that

$$\forall \xi \in E \qquad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu_\theta - \nu \rangle = 0 \tag{27}$$

Using (26) and (27) we obtain for $\xi \in E$, i.e. for $\xi \in E_j$ for appropriate j, that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle V_\theta(t)\xi | \nu_\theta \rangle - \langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu \rangle = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle [V_\theta(t) - V_0(t)]\xi | \nu_\theta \rangle + \lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle V_0(t)\xi | [\nu_\theta - \nu] \rangle = 0. \tag{28}$$

We finally obtain using (23) and (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle [U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle &\overset{(23)}{=} \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle V_\theta(t)\xi | [A^*_\theta - A^*_0]\pi_0 \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle V_\theta(t)\xi | \nu_\theta \rangle \overset{(28)}{=} \langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Thus (ii) has been proved.

3 The OU-semigroup

Definition 3.1 Let I be some interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ the vector space of all polynomial functions $\xi : I \to \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)'$ the space of all linear functionals with values in $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and let $\langle . | . \rangle$ denote the natural dual pairing between $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and $\mathcal{R}(I)'$. Note that any such functional is uniquely determined on the space of monomials and thus any such functional may be uniquely represented as $F(\xi) = (\frac{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \partial^n}{\partial x^n}|_{x=0}\xi(x))$.

Example 3.2 Let $A_\theta : \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$A_\theta := (\theta - x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}. \tag{30}$$

and let $A^*_\theta : \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})' \to \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})'$ denote the dual of A_θ. Let $\pi_\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})'$ be implicitly given by

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}) \qquad \langle \xi | \pi_\theta \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{(x-\theta)^2} \, dx.$$

Define the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*$ as the semigroup of the adjoints $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ of the operators $U_\theta(t) = e^{tA_\theta}$. Then $A^*_\theta \pi_\theta = 0$ and the action of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on π_0 is given by

$$\langle U_\theta \xi | \pi_0 \rangle = \langle \xi | U^*_\theta \pi_0 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{(x-(1-e^{-t})\theta)^2} \, dx. \tag{31}$$

Further $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{(x-\theta)^2}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{(x-(1-e^{-t})\theta)^2}$ are the densities of the normal distributions $N(\theta, 1/2)$ and $N((1-e^{-t})\theta, 1/2)$, respectively. Thus by Theorem 2.6

(ii) and (31)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi | [V_0(t)]^* \nu \rangle &= \langle V_0(t)\xi | \nu \rangle = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle [U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(e^{(x-(1-e^{-t})\theta)^2} - e^{x^2} \right) \, dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \cdot \pi^{-1/2} (e^{-t} - 1) x e^{x^2} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $[V_0(t)]^* \nu$ is represented by the function $\pi^{-1/2}(e^{-t}-1)xe^{x^2}$. It is possible to perform the above calculation since we can, in the case of the OU-semigroup, calculate $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ and thus $[U_\theta(t)]^* \pi_0$ in closed form. Another possibility to calculate $\langle V_0(t)\xi|\nu\rangle$ would be to calculate ν and to use Remark 2.3. However to do this it is again necessary to calculate $[U_0(s)]^*$ in closed form. For the Wright–Fisher diffusion this has only been achieved in some special cases [8], [3].

4 The Wright-Fisher diffusion

We intend—in the case of the Wright–Fisher diffusion—to utilize Theorem 2.6 (ii) for the series expansion of $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} \langle [U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi | \pi_0 \rangle$ via the series expansion of $V_0(t)$. This is done in the next section.

Remark 4.1 Let $\kappa > 0$ be fixed throughout this section. For $\theta \geq 0$ we define operators $A_\theta : \mathcal{R}([0,1]) \to \mathcal{R}([0,1])$ by

$$A_\theta := (1-x)\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x(1-x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}. \tag{32}$$

Let $\pi_\theta \in \mathcal{R}([0,1])'$ be implicitly defined by

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{R}([0,1]) \quad \langle \xi | \pi_\theta \rangle := \int \xi(x) \frac{\Gamma(\theta+\kappa)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(\kappa)} x^{\theta-1} (1-x)^{\kappa-1} \, dx \tag{33}$$

for $\theta > 0$ and by $\langle \xi | \pi_0 \rangle := \xi(0)$. Then $A_\theta^* \pi_\theta = 0$, i.e.,

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{R}([0,1]) \quad \langle A_\theta \xi | \pi_\theta \rangle = 0. \tag{34}$$

This is almost trivial in the case that $\theta = 0$. For $\theta > 0$ and polynomials ξ of the form

$$\xi(x) = x^2(1-x)^2 \cdot p(x)$$

—with $p(x)$ an arbitrary polynomial—we obtain (34) by partial integration

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle A_\theta \xi | \pi_\theta \rangle = \\
&\int \xi(x) \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^{\theta-1}(1-x)^\kappa \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^\theta (1-x)^{\kappa-1} \kappa + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x^\theta (1-x)^\kappa \right] \, dx = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which can be further extended to arbitrary polynomials by approximation arguments. (See also [5, Chapter 4])

Definition 4.2 Let $[U_\theta(t)]^*$ be the adjoint of $U_\theta(t) = e^{tA_\theta}$ with A_θ given by (32). We call the semigroups $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*$ Wright–Fisher diffusions.

Remark 4.3 Since for $\theta > 0$ the function $x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(\theta+\kappa)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(\kappa)} x^{\theta-1}(1-x)^{\kappa-1}$ defined on $[0,1]$ is the density of a Beta distribution, we obtain that $\langle 1|\pi_\theta\rangle = 1$ and thus further that $\theta^{-1}\langle 1|\pi_\theta - \pi_0\rangle = 0$.

Remark 4.4 For $n \geq 1$ we obtain from (33) that $\theta > 0$ implies

$$\langle x^n|\pi_\theta\rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\theta+n)\Gamma(\theta+\kappa)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(\theta+\kappa+n)} \cdot \langle 1|\pi_{\theta+n}\rangle = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta+i}{\theta+\kappa+i}, \tag{35}$$

while $\langle x^n|\pi_0\rangle = 0^n = 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} \theta^{-1}\langle x^n|\pi_\theta - \pi_0\rangle = \lim_{\theta\to 0} \theta^{-1}\langle x^n|\pi_\theta\rangle = \lim_{\theta\to 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta+i}{\theta+\kappa+i} = \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\kappa+n)}.$$

Proposition 4.5 *Let A_θ be given by (32). Then*

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\langle \xi|A_\theta^*\pi_0\rangle|_{\theta=0} = \lim_{\theta\to 0}\langle [A_\theta - A_0]\xi|\pi_0\rangle = \left\langle (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\xi(x)|\pi_0 \right\rangle = \xi'(0). \tag{36}$$

Remark 4.6 From Proposition 4.5, Lemma 1.5 and Remark 1.6 we obtain that for A_θ given by (32)

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\langle A_0\xi|\theta^{-1}(\pi_\theta - \pi_0)\rangle = \xi'(0) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \nu := \lim_{\theta\to 0} A_0^*\theta^{-1}(\pi_\theta - \pi_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}|_{x=0} \tag{37}$$

and thus further from Remark 2.7 that

$$\left\langle \xi \left| \frac{\partial [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0}{\partial\theta}|_{\theta=0} \right.\right\rangle = \langle V_0(t)\xi|\nu\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)\xi](x)|_{x=0} \tag{38}$$

Remark 4.7 Calling an element $\mu \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})'$ a probability-distribution if $\langle 1|\mu\rangle = 1$ and $\langle \xi|\mu\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, we obtain the following interpretation of our Wright–Fisher diffusions $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*$:

Suppose that we start at time 0 in a probability-distribution μ on $[0,1]$ giving us the proportion of individuals—in a large haploid population—that carries an allele A. Suppose further that we interpret the parameter κ as the mutation rate at which allele A transforms into another allele B and θ as the mutation rate at which allele B transforms back into A. Then the probability-distribution $[U_\theta(t)]^*\mu$ gives us the proportion of individuals carrying allele A at time t. Further the n-th moment $\langle x^n|[U_\theta(t)]^*\mu\rangle$ of $[U_\theta(t)]^*\mu$ gives us the probability that n individuals independently chosen from the population at time t all carry allele A. The probability-distributions π_θ are the equilibrium distributions for the respective mutation rates. In the case that $\theta = 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ none of the individuals carries allele A in the equilibrium π_0. Suppose now that we start in the equilibrium π_0, but that the mutation rate θ is greater than 0. Then the probability-distribution describing the population evolves according to $t \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0$, and $t \mapsto \langle x^n | [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle$ gives us the evolution of the probability that n individuals chosen at random from the population all carry allele A. An approximation of the probability $\langle x^n | [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle$ for fixed t and small values of θ can be obtained by the first order expansion

$$\langle x^n | [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle \approx \langle x^n | [U_0(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle + \theta \cdot \left\langle x^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0 \right.\right\rangle . \tag{39}$$

Since $\langle x^n | [U_0(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\langle x^n | [U_0(t)]^*\pi_0\rangle = 1$ for $n = 0$, it suffices by (38) to calculate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)x^n]|_{x=0} = \left\langle x^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0 \right.\right\rangle \tag{40}$$

to determine the approximation (39). This is done for $n = 0, 1, 2$ in the following example.

Example 4.8 We calculate the derivative of the 0^{th}, 1^{st} and 2^{nd} moments of $\theta \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0$ at $\theta = 0$ by calculating $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)x^i]|_{x=0}$ for $i \in 0, 1, 2$.

Clearly

$$A_0 1 = 0, A_0 x = -\kappa x \quad \text{and} \quad A_0 x^2 = (-2\kappa - 2)x^2 + 2x$$

From this we obtain for $k \geq 1$ (by induction on k) that $A_0^k 1 = 0$, $A_0^k x = (-\kappa)^k x$ and

$$A_0^k x^2 = (-2\kappa - 2)^k x^2 + 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-2\kappa - 2)^{k-(i+1)}(-\kappa)^i x$$

and thus further (note that $A^0 = id$) that

$$[V_0(t)]1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} A^{k-1}\right] 1 = t, \tag{41}$$

$$[V_0(t)]x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} A^{k-1}\right] x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}(-\kappa)^{k-1} x = \frac{e^{-\kappa t}}{-\kappa} x \tag{42}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
[V_0(t)]x^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{t^k}{k!}A^{k-1}\right]x^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^k}{k!}\left[(-2\kappa-2)^k x^2 + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-2\kappa-2)^{k-(i+1)}(-\kappa)^i x\right] \\
&= e^{(-2\kappa-2)t}x^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^k}{k!}(-2\kappa-2)^{k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2\kappa+2}\right)^i x \qquad (43) \\
&= e^{(-2\kappa-2)t}x^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^k}{k!}\frac{(-2\kappa-2)^k(1-(\kappa/(2\kappa+2))^k)}{(-2\kappa-2)(1-(\kappa/(2\kappa+2))}x \\
&= e^{(-2\kappa-2)t}x^2 - \frac{2}{\kappa+2}e^{-\kappa t}\left(e^{-(\kappa+2)t}-1\right)x.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (41), (42) and (43), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)]1 = 0,\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)]x = \frac{e^{-\kappa t}}{-\kappa}\quad \text{and} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[V_0(t)]x^2 = 2e^{(-2\kappa-2)t}x - \frac{2}{\kappa+2}e^{-\kappa t}\left(e^{-(\kappa+2)t}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
\qquad (44)
$$

Remark 4.9 Of course we can also calculate the derivatives of higher moments of $\theta \mapsto [U_\theta(t)]^*\pi_0$ at $\theta = 0$ with increasing computational effort. Moreover there exists a basis of the space of polynomials—consisting of the vectors 1, x and the vectors ξ_n defined in (46) below—for that a simple recursion for the calculation of $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\langle \theta^{-1}[U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi_n|\pi_0\rangle$ can be given.

Theorem 4.10 *Let $b_{n,0}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary, let $\gamma_{n,n} = 1$ and let for $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$ and $2 \leq m \leq n-1$*

$$
\gamma_{n,m-1} := \frac{m(m-1)}{n(-\kappa-n+1)-(m-1)(-\kappa-m+2)}\cdot\gamma_{n,m}, \qquad (45)
$$

$$
\xi_n := \sum_{m=2}^{n} x^m \gamma_{n,m} \qquad (46)
$$

and

$$
b_{n,k} := -\kappa b_{n,k-1} + (n(-\kappa-n+1))^{k-1}\cdot 2\gamma_{n,2} \qquad (47)
$$

Then for $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$

$$
A_0^k[\xi_n + b_{n,0}x + a] = (n(-\kappa-n+1))^k\xi_n + b_{n,k}x \qquad (48)
$$

$$
\langle A_0^k[\xi_n + b_{n,0}x + a]|\nu\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[A^k\xi_n](x)|_{x=0} = b_{n,k} \qquad (49)
$$

and for $b_{n,0} = 0$

$$\left\langle \xi_n \left| \frac{\partial [U_\theta(t)]^* \pi_0}{\partial \theta} |_{\theta=0} \right. \right\rangle = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle \theta^{-1}[U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi_n | \pi_0 \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} b_{n,k-1}. \tag{50}$$

Proof: To prove (48) we show for $\beta = (n(-\kappa - n + 1))^{k-1}$ and $i \geq 1$ that

$$A_0[\beta\xi_n + b_{n,i-1}x + a] = n(-\kappa - n + 1)\beta\xi_n + b_{n,i}x. \tag{51}$$

This is done by a comparison of coefficients. The coefficient α_n of x^n in $A_0[\beta\xi_n + b_{n,k-1}x + a]$ equals $n(-\kappa - n + 1)\beta$ as the following calculation shows:

$$\alpha_n x^n = -x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \beta x^n - \kappa x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \beta x^n = n(-\kappa - n + 1)\beta x^n. \tag{52}$$

For $3 \leq m \leq n$ the coefficient α_{m-1} of x^{m-1} in $A_0[\beta\xi_n + b_0 x + a]$ is given by $n(-\kappa - n + 1)\beta\gamma_{n,m-1}$ as can be seen by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m-1}x^{m-1} &= x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x^m \beta\gamma_{n,m} - x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x^{m-1} \beta\gamma_{n,m-1} - \kappa x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^{m-1} \beta\gamma_{n,m-1} \\ &= (m(m-1)\beta\gamma_{n,m} + (m-1)(-\kappa - m + 2)\beta\gamma_{n,m-1})x^{m-1} \\ &= n(-\kappa - n + 1)\beta\gamma_{n,m-1}\, x^{m-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

with the last equality a consequence of (45). Thus it remains to calculate the coefficients α_1 of x^1 and α_0 of $x^0 = 1$. We obtain $\alpha_0 = 0$ since the first and second order derivatives applied to the constant function gives 0. We further obtain $\alpha_1 = -\kappa b_{n,i-1} + \beta 2\gamma_{n,2} = b_{n,i}$ by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 x &= x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x^2 \beta\gamma_{n,2} - x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x\beta b_{n,i-1} - \kappa x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x\beta b_{n,i-1} \\ &= (\beta \cdot 2\gamma_{n,2}x + 0 - \kappa b_{n,i-1})x = b_{n,i}x, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

with the last equality a consequence of (47) From (52), (53) and (54) we obtain (51) and by recursion over (51) (with i ranging from 1 to k) we obtain (48). Equation (49) is a consequence of (48) and Remark 4.6.

Finally we obtain (50) by the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\theta \to 0} \langle \theta^{-1}[U_\theta(t) - U_0(t)]\xi_n | \pi_0 \rangle \overset{(a)}{=} \langle V_0(t)\xi_n | \nu \rangle \\ &\qquad \overset{(b)}{=} \lim_{N \to \infty} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{t}{k} \frac{(tA_0)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \xi_n \right| \nu \left. \right\rangle \overset{(c)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} b_{n,k-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

with (a), (b) and (c) consequences of Theorem 2.6 (ii), Lemma 2.2 and equation (49) with $b_{n,0} = a = 0$, respectively.

References

[1] Bobrowski, Adam *Functional Analysis for Probability and Stochastic Processes.* Cambridge University Press. (2005).

[2] Büskens, C.; Griesse, R. Parametric sensitivity analysis of perturbed PDE optimal control problems with state and control constraints. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 131, No. 1, 17–35 (2006).

[3] Chen, Linan; Stroock, Daniel W. The fundamental solution to the Wright–Fisher equation. *Siam J. Math. Anal.* Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 539–567 (2010).

[4] Engel, K.-J.; Nagel, R. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 194. Berlin: Springer. (2000).

[5] Ewens, W. J. *Mathematical Population Genetics, 2nd ed.* Biomathematics, Vol 9. Springer, NewYork (2004)

[6] Heidergott, Bernd; Hordijk, Arie; Weisshaupt, Heinz Measure-valued differentiation for stationary Markov chains. *Math. Oper. Res.* 31, No. 1, 154–172 (2006).

[7] Kelley, John L.; Namioka, Isaac; et al. *Linear Topological Spaces. Reprint of the Van Nostrand ed.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 36. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag. (1976).

[8] Kimura, M. Diffusion models in population genetics. *J. Appl. Probab.* 1, 177–232 (1964).

[9] Malliavin, Paul; Thalmaier, Anton *Stochastic Calculus of Variations in Mathematical Finance.* Springer Finance. Berlin: Springer. (2006).

[10] Pflug, Georg *Optimization of Stochastic Models.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1996.

[11] Steele, J.Michael *Stochastic Calculus and Financial Applications.* Applications of Mathematics. 45. New York, NY: Springer. (2001).

[12] Weisshaupt, Heinz On the Differentiability of parameterized families of Linear Operators and the Sensitivity of their stationary vectors. *Period. Math. Hung.* 59, No 2, 147–171 (2009)

[13] Weisshaupt, Heinz Hyper-Finite Diffusion Processes via Parabolic Equations: An infinitesimal approach to Lindeberg type limit Theorems associated with diffusions and sensitivities of diffusions. *J. Log. Anal.* 1, Article 2, 29 p., electronic only (2009).

[14] Wilmott, Paul *Paul Wilmott on Quantitative Finance. 3 Vols. With CD-ROM. 2nd ed.* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. (2006).